

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/029,262 | LEE, DONG SUN | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Erin M. File | 2634 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Erin M. File.

(3) _____.

 (2) Daniel Kim, Reg. No. 36,186.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2005
Time: 12:20PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

28, 30, 33, 34

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Attorney agreed to proposed examiner's amendment
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)